

**LyleMcCandless@aol.com**

07/06/2010 11:31 AM

To: damon\_doumlele@nps.gov, wclark88@aol.com,  
captfrankadams@aol.com, lylemccandless@aol.com  
cc

Subject: Feasible vs Sustainable

July 6 2010

Ref: Feasible vs Sustainable.

Damon:

Please post the attached letter on the ORVAC web site. The ORVAC should have all ORV information available.

Thanks



Lyle Feasible vs Sustainable.html

Superintendent Ramos:

Webster defines feasible as "Capable of being done, executed or effected" therefore unfeasible would mean

"Incapable of being done, executed or effected". It appears that this term "Feasible" was brought forward as giving the NPS much more latitude to eliminate ORV trails in the Turner River unit as well as the remainder of the preserve in the future.

Please explain in writing WHEN and WHY the term "Feasible" was introduced in the process. Any ORV trail eliminated by the NPS including the 300 plus miles requested by the public as well as the "meager" 110 miles recommended by the ORVAC must have been eliminated as being "Unsustainable" as opposed to "Unfeasible".

We trust due to the fact that the NPS is creating an individual file on each and all of the 300 plus miles of trails requested by the public including the 110 recommended by the ORVAC committee this verification should be very simple to accomplish. All of the people who requested the 300 plus miles of ORV trails are due an explanation for technically why their requested trail was eliminated, not just the people who requested the 110 miles of trails recommended by the ORVAC.

Referring specifically to the 49 miles of Green trails eliminated in the Turner River unit at this point we need to know if these trails were eliminated as "Unfeasible" or "Unsustainable". We will remind you again that YOU stated in one or more ORVAC meetings that "Those ORV trails shown In Closed Areas will be under more scrutiny than those shown Outside Closed Areas".

Regarding the 47 miles of Yellow trails yet to be ground proofed in the Turner River unit, we hereby demand that any of these trails eliminated by the NPS be eliminated for no other reason than being "Unsustainable" as opposed to "Unfeasible".

An honest, sensible, reasonable response to the above would go a long way in calming the storm the NPS has created regarding the Turner River ORV trail system as well as our concerns about ORV trails in

the balance of the Original Preserve and the Addition Lands. We ask your to keep foremost in mind the word "INTENT" set forth in the 1974 Preserve creation documents.

We will respectfully remind you that this is not just a recreation issue to us, the issue is our LIFE as well as our Cultural Heritage. This situation in no different than what the Government [Not the Public] did to the Native Americans [Give and unjustly take Away].

Look forward to your response.

With Hope.

Lyle McCandless  
Pres. BCSA  
Member DMTAG